

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 108/811.742 03/06/97 21	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	U756-1641

MM31/0217

SIXBEY FRIEDMAN LEEDOM & FERGUSON 2010 CORPORATE RIDGE

SUITE 600

MCLEAN VA 22102

HAWRANEK, S

, ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

EXAMINER

DATE MAILED: 02/17/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/811,742 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Scott J. Hawranek

Zhang et al. Group Art Unit 2813

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL.	opt for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed
in accordance with the property	set to expire 3 month(s), or thing will cause the
s longer, from the maining detection in the maining detection to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Example 27 CER 1.136(a).	xtensions of time,
Disposition of Claims	is/are pending in the application.
M Clauster	IS/are with a second
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
XI Claim(s) 5-12, 16, 19, and 26-48	is/are objected to.
X Claim(s) <u>5-12, 16, 19, and 26-48</u>☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claims	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ☑ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreig ☑ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED ☑ received. ☐ □ received in Application No. (Series Code/	aminer. n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). o copies of the priority documents have been
 ☐ received in this national stage application Certified copies not received: ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome 	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-144 Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie	.9, Paper No(s)
SEE OFFICE	ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 33 19, 34,35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 33 refers to "...having a glass strain point of 593° or less..." it is unclear to whether the temperature is in Fahrenheit or Celsius.

Claims 19, 34, 35 depend on claim 33, therefore are for the reasons stated above indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2813

Claims 5-8, 11-12, 16, 19, 27-48 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oka (JP '915), in view of Liu et al. (US '826) and in further view of Kuznetsov (Inst. Phys. Conf.)

Oka discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device for an active matrix type electro-optical display having a driving circuit portion and display portion comprising: forming an amorphous Si layer on a glass substrate by PECVD (pg. 6, translation), selectively forming a Ni layer (pg. 14, translation) on a-Si layer in seed regions outside the regions slated to become TFT active regions, such that Ni dos not diffuse into said active regions by abnormal diffusion, therefore the Ni is introduced into the seed regions by solid source diffusion. Thermally heating the Ni at 450 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ (pg. 6, translation) such that the Ni catalyst would diffusion through the semiconductor film forming crystal nuclei near the interface between the metal layer and the a-si layer. (pg. 7, translation) After diffusion of the catalyst through the semiconductor film the metal layer is removed to prevent abnormal diffusion (i.e. diffusion into the active layer of the TFT). It should be noted, Kuznetsov teaches that a metal catalyst induced crystallization occurs by lateral diffusion of the metal throughout the a-Si. Thus, such a diffusion while not explicitly y taught by Oka, is inherent in the process of Oka. Then a-Si is thermally crystallized at 550 °C, where the grain nuclei ordinally form in the seed regions and grain growth proceeds from said seed regions parallel to the substrate surface and TFT charge carrier flow (fig. 5-8). TFTs are subsequently form in the crystal growth region. Oka does not teach to purposely leave any areas amorphous.

Art Unit: 2813

However, Liu teaches that regions of a-Si on Corning 7059 glass which were not treated with Ni prior to a low temperature thermal treatment remain amorphous, while a-Si regions which were treated with Ni crystallized into polysilicon after said thermal treatment (Example 2), and that this selective crystallization of certain regions is advantageous because it allows simultaneous formation of driver TFTs which require a low leakage current in the amorphous regions (col. 3, lines 10-17).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the requisite art to leave second regions (disposing nickel in contact with a selected region of only the first region of the semiconductor film) of Oka amorphous by not providing a seed region in order to simultaneously form driver TFTs which require high mobility in the polysilicon regions and pixel TFTs, which require a low leakage current in the amorphous regions, as taught by Liu.

The selection of the specific concentration of Ni less than (1E19 atoms/cm3) is prima facie obvious without showing that the claimed ranges achieve unexpected results relative to the prior art range. (i.e. a sufficient concentration to obtain catalytic action without inducing abnormal metal diffusion, as taught by Oka) See, In re Woodruff, 16 USPQ2d 1935, 1937 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See also In re Huang, 40 USPQ2d 1685, 1688(Fed. Cir. 1996)(claimed ranges of a result effective variable, which do not overlap the prior art ranges, are unpatentable unless they produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely in degree from the results of the prior art). See also In re Boesch, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA) (discovery of optimum value of result

effective variable in known process is ordinarily within skill of art) and <u>In re Aller</u>, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955) (selection of optimum ranges within prior art general conditions is obvious).

3. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oka in view of Liu as applied to claims 5-8, 11-12, 16, 19, 27-48 above, and further in view of Yonehara (US '093) or Shibata (US '224 or JP '224). Oka and Liu do not teach irradiating the polysilicon after the thermal crystallization.

Yonehara and Shibata teach the irradiating the polysilicon after a thermal crystallization improves the properties of the film, such as mobility.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to irradiate the polysilicon of Oka and Liu after the thermal crystallization in order to improve its mobility, as taught by Yonehara or Shibata.

 Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oka in view of Liu as applied to claims 5-8, 11-12, 16, 19, 27-48 above, and further in view of Kuznetsov.

Koznetsov teaches determining Ni concentration in metal induced crystallized silicon using SIMS (sec. 2)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the requisite art to test the metal induced crystallized silicon of Oka or catalyst containing material of Oka by SIMS to check for the presence of and to determine the distribution of deleterious metal impurities (Oka, pgs. 10-11 of translation) as taught by Kuznetsov.

Art Unit: 2813

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 5. should be directed to Scott J. Hawranek whose telephone number is (703) 305-0070. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from $8\!:\!30\;$ to $6\!:\!00$ P.M. .

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Bowers, can be reached on (703) 308-2417. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1778.

> cash L. Bom Supervisory Patent Examiner Techn logy Center 2800

SJH

2/11/99